# **JSON Formatting**

"JavaScript Object Notation" is widely used. It is used as a data interchange standard and is also human-readable. One thing I dislike about it, is the way it's usually formatted.

## jq

jq is the most popular tool to format and query JSON.

When formatting, it puts commas at the end of a line. Brackets ([,]) and curly braces ({,}) are on their own lines (line 1) or together with a field key (line 4).

jq formatting

```
1 {
 2
     "credits": {
 3
       "director": "Steven Spielberg",
4
       "starring": [
 5
         "Sam Neill",
6
         "Laura Dern",
         "Jeff Goldblum"
7
      ]
8
     },
9
     "released": 1993,
10
     "title": "Jurassic Park"
11
12 }
```

## my Preference

I personally prefer the formatting to be similar to Haskell lists. The opening bracket ([) / curly brace ({) is on the same line as the first element of the starting object / array. Leading Commas are indented the same as the opening bracket / curly brace.

my preferred formatting

```
1 { "credits":
 2
   { "director": "Steven Spielberg"
     , "starring":
3
       [ "Sam Neill"
4
5
      , "Laura Dern"
         "Jeff Goldblum"
6
       ,
 7
       1
8
    }
9 , "released": 1993
10 , "title": "Jurassic Park"
```

11 }

#### Why do I like this better?

- The structural components (object, array) can always be recognized on the left, while the content (string, number, boolean) is always on the right.
- Single line JSON values are on the same line as their key.
- Multi line JSON values are on their own lines. Compare line 4-8 by jq and line 4-7 by me.
- Line based diffs are slightly more efficient.
- There are shorter and fewer lines overall.
- Trailing commas are easily forgotten.

### Last note

I can totally understand, if you call this article nit-picking. It's definitely more important to agree on one kind of formatting, than to use the optimal kind of formatting. It's just a minor thing that has bugged me before.